Print this report

Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: BUNKER HILL EL
Campus ID: 101920102
District Name: SPRING BRANCH ISD

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

											Two or						
					African			American		Pacific		-					
				-	American I	-					Races	Ed	Disadv	ELL	Female	Male N	ligrant
STAAR Percent	At or Ab	ove	Level II	Satisfac	tory Standa	ard (201	6) or P	hase-in 1	Level I	I (2015)							
Grade 3																	
Reading	2016 7	2%	68%	88%	-	72%	92%	-	84%	-	100%	*	73%	53%	90%	85%	-
	2015 7	4%	70%	94%	*	94%	95%	-	95%	-	*	*	88%	81%	97%	90%	-
Mathematics	2016 7	4%	65%	89%	-	61%	93%	-	96%	-	*	*	73%	59%	88%	90%	-
	2015 7	4%	66%	92%	*	88%	96%	-	91%	-	*	*	88%	75%	94%	90%	-
Grade 4																	
Reading	2016 7	10/	68%	92%	*	95%	92%		92%	_	100%	78%	88%	67%	94%	89%	
Reading	2015 7		68%	85%	*	64%	94%	_	83%	_	*	/ O /0 *	71%	*	92%	78%	_
	2013 7	1 /0	00 /6	03 /6		04 /0	34 /0	-	03 /6	-			1 1 /0		92 /0	7070	-
Mathematics	2016 7	2%	68%	94%	*	89%	94%	-	100%	-	100%	89%	78%	80%	96%	93%	-
	2015 7	1%	67%	87%	*	64%	94%	-	93%	-	*	*	*	64%	89%	85%	-
Writing	2016 6	8%	66%	93%	*	89%	93%	_	92%	_	100%	78%	78%	56%	94%	91%	_
3	2015 6	7%	66%	87%	*	77%	94%	-	82%	-	*	*	*	*	93%	81%	-
Crada E																	
Grade 5	2016 8	00/	740/	91%	*	74%	98%		90%		100%	*	78%	60%	97%	050/	
Reading	2015 8		74% 77%	91%	*	88%	95%	-	90% 81%	-	100%	73%	76% 77%	56%	97%	85% 90%	-
	2015 6	3%	1170	9170		00%	95%	-	0170	-		13%	1170	30%	93%	90%	-
Mathematics	2016 8	5%	81%	96%	*	87%	100%	-	97%	-	100%	*	78%	100%	97%	95%	-
	2015 7	5%	71%	94%	*	94%	94%	-	100%	-	*	55%	85%	88%	96%	93%	-
Science	2016 7	3%	70%	88%	*	68%	95%	_	90%	_	*	*	67%	78%	88%	88%	_
Ocience	2015 6		66%	80%	*	63%	87%	_	77%	_	*	55%	46%	31%	82%	79%	_
	_0.00	0 70	0070	33,0		00,0	0.70		,0			0070	.0,0	0.70	02/0	. 0 / 0	
Grade 6																	
Mathematics	2016 7	1%	68%	*	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-
All Grades																	
All Subjects	2016 7	4%	70%	91%	67%	80%	95%	-	93%	-	98%	69%	76%	67%	93%	89%	-
·	2015 7	3%	70%	89%	61%	77%	93%	-	88%	-	85%	55%	70%	60%	92%	86%	-
Reading	2016 7	2%	68%	90%	*	80%	94%	_	89%	_	100%	60%	79%	58%	94%	86%	_
rtodding	2015 7		70%	90%	71%	80%	94%	_	86%	_	100%	60%	79%	58%	94%	86%	_
	_0.0.	.,0	. 0 / 0	22,0	, 0	0070	0.70		0070		.0070	0070	. 0 / 0	0070	0.70	0070	
Mathematics	2016 7	5%	71%	93%	*	80%	96%	-	98%	-	100%	75%	76%	76%	94%	93%	-
	2015 7	3%	70%	91%	*	80%	94%	-	95%	-	75%	55%	75%	77%	93%	89%	-
Writing	2016 6	8%	63%	93%	*	89%	93%	_	92%	_	100%	78%	78%	56%	94%	91%	-
·······•	2015 6		64%	87%	*	77%	94%	-	82%	-	*	*	*	*	93%	81%	-
Science	2016 7		77%	88%	*	68%	95%	-	90%	-	*	*	67%	78%	88%	88%	-
	2015 7	5%	75%	80%	*	63%	87%	-	77%	-	*	55%	46%	31%	82%	79%	-

STAAR Percent at Final Level II or Above

% STAAR/EOC With No

% STAAR/EOC With

2016 13%

2016 73%

22%

62%

45%

55%

0%

100% 27%

73%

45%

55%

57%

43%

38%

62%

Accommodations

Accommodations

All Grades All Subjects	2016	42%	43%	719	, ,	33%	55%	73%		79	3%	_	80%	33%	24%	31%	75%	67%	
All Subjects		38%				33%	45%	65%	-		4%	-	65%	27%	41%	33%	66%	61%	-
Dooding	2016	420/	420/	740	,	*	53%	73%		7	7%	_	93%	25%	21%	28%	75%	67%	
Reading		42% 40%				43%	53% 54%	73% 72%	-		7% 7%	-	93% 75%	30%	46%	35%	73%	66%	-
Mathematics	2016	40%	40%	73%	/ o	*	58%	75%	_	8:	3%	_	73%	40%	31%	35%	75%	71%	_
Matromatio		36%				*	43%	67%	-		1%	-	75%	30%	46%	42%	66%	66%	-
Writing	2016	39%	40%	75%	6	*	63%	76%	_	80	0%	-	100%	56%	33%	44%	81%	66%	_
_	2015	31%	34%	66%	6	*	55%	69%	-	7	1%	-	*	*	*	*	73%	59%	-
Science		44%				*	45%	66%	-		7%	-	*	*	0%	11%	64%	55%	-
	2015	40%	45%	36%	6	*	6%	39%	-	50	0%	-	*	9%	23%	13%	36%	36%	-
STAAR Percent	at Lev	el III /	Advano	ed															
All Grades																			
All Subjects		17% 14%				13% 11%	28% 24%	42% 31%	-		3% 3%	-	50% 40%	15% 11%	1% 11%	14% 12%	45% 35%	39% 30%	-
								3170						1170			3370	30 /0	
Reading		16% 15%				* 29%	30% 31%	43% 42%	-		3% 5%	-	53% 50%	15% 10%	0% 14%	17% 12%	51% 45%	36% 38%	-
						2070													
Mathematics		17% 14%				*	30% 26%	48% 33%	-		0% 5%	-	47% 50%	15% 20%	3% 11%	16% 19%	44% 36%	50% 36%	-
Writing	2016 2015	14% 8%	17% 10%			*	37% 14%	40% 15%	-		2% 2%	-	60% *	22%	0% *	11% *	51% 25%	30% 12%	-
Caianaa	2046	450/	200/	220	,	*	00/	23%	_	2	3%		*	*	0%	0%	23%	23%	
Science		15% 14%				*	9% 6%	9%	-		5% 5%	-	*	0%	8%	0%	11%	9%	-
STAAR Participa	ation (/	All Gr	ades)																
All Tests			2016	99% 99%	99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%		100% 100%	-	100%	-	100% 100%	100%	100% 100%	100% 100%			
			2015	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	•	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	5 100%	-
Reading			2016 2015	99% 99%	99% 98%	100% 100%	100% 100%		100% 100%	-	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%			
			2015	9976	90%	100 %	100%	100%	100%	-		-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
Mathematics			2016 2015	100% 99%	100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%		100% 100%	-	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%			
			2013	3370	3370	100 /6	10070	10070	10070		10076		10070	10070	10076	10070	100 /	1 100 70	
Writing			2016 2015	99% 99%	100% 99%	100% 100%	* 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%			
			2010		0070		10070	10070								10070	1007		
Science			2016 2015	99% 99%	99% 99%	100% 100%	* 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%			
STAAR Participa	ation R	esult	s by A	ssessm	ent Ty	pe for S	tudent	s Serve	d in Spe	cial	Educati	on S	Settings	(All Gra	ades)				
Reading Tests																			
% of Participan		. Na	2016	98%	96%	100%	-	100%	100%	-	*	-	-	100%	*	*	100%	100%	-

% STAAR Alternate2	2016	11%	13%	0%	-	0%	0%	-	*	-	-	0%	*	*	0%	0%	-
% of Non-Participants	2016	2%	4%	0%	-	0%	0%	-	*	-	-	0%	*	*	0%	0%	-
Mathematics Tests																	
% of Participants	2016	99%	99%	100%	-	100%	100%	-	*	-	-	100%	*	*	100%	100%	-
% STAAR/EOC With No																	
Accommodations	2016	12%	18%	40%	-	0%	64%	-	*	-	-	40%	*	*	43%	38%	-
% STAAR/EOC With																	
Accommodations	2016	75%	68%	60%	-	100%	36%	-	*	-	-	60%	*	*	57%	62%	-
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	12%	14%	0%	-	0%	0%	-	*	-	-	0%	*	*	0%	0%	-
% of Non-Participants	2016	1%	1%	0%	-	0%	0%	-	*	-	-	0%	*	*	0%	0%	-

^{&#}x27;*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

	All Students	African sAmerican	Hispanic	White	American Indian		Pacific Islander		Econ		ELL Il (Current & Monitored)			Total Eligible	
Performance Status - State															
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	Υ		Υ	Υ		Υ			Υ				5	5	100
Mathematics	Υ		Υ	Υ		Υ			Υ				5	5	100
Writing	Υ			Υ									2	2	100
Science	Υ			Υ		Υ							3	3	100
Social Studies													0	0	
Total													15	15	100
Performance Status - Feder	al														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	Υ		Ν	Υ	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				n/a			
Mathematics	Υ		N	Υ	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Y		Υ	Υ		Υ			Υ			Υ	6	6	100
Mathematics	Ϋ́		Y	Ϋ́		Ϋ́			Ϋ́			Y	6	6	100
Total	•		•	•		•			•			•	12	12	100
Federal Graduation Status (Graduation Target Met Reason Code *** Total	Target: Se	ee Reason	Codes)										0 0	0 0	

District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Reading
Alternate 1% n/a
Number Proficient n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit n/a
Mathematics
Alternate 1% n/a
Number Proficient n/a

^{&#}x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

^{&#}x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

^{&#}x27;?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

Total Federal Cap Limit

Total

Overall Total 27 27 100

n/a

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90%

c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal

b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88%

d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

								Two or			ELL	
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)
Performance Rates												
Reading	000		40	400		0.5		**			07	,
# at Level II Satisfactory	320	*	46	192	-	65	-	**	20	11	37	n/a
Standard								4.4				
Total Tests	348	*	58	203	-	68	-	**	25	19	44	23
% at Level II Satisfactory	92%	*	79%	95%	-	96%	-	100%	80%	58%	84%	n/a
Standard												
Mathematics								4.4				,
# at Level II Satisfactory	329	*	48	197	-	67	-	**	20	14	40	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	349	*	58	204	-	68	-	**	26	19	45	24
% at Level II Satisfactory	94%	*	83%	97%	-	99%	-	100%	77%	74%	89%	n/a
Standard												
Writing												
# at Level II Satisfactory Standard	108	*	16	66	-	19	-	**	7	6	14	n/a
Total Tests	114	*	18	70	-	19	-	**	8	8	15	*
% at Level II Satisfactory	95%	*	89%	94%	_	100%	_	100%	88%	75%	93%	n/a
Standard						,			/-			
Science												
# at Level II Satisfactory	106	*	15	60	-	26	-	*	5	*	12	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	119	*	22	63	-	27	-	*	8	*	13	6
% at Level II Satisfactory	89%	*	68%	95%	_	96%	-	*	63%	*	92%	n/a
Standard												
Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessi	ments											
Number Participating	369	5	60	208	-	81	-	15	29	20	n/a	37
Total Students	369	5	60	208	-	81	-	15	29	20	n/a	37
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Ass	sessments											
Number Participating	369	5	60	208	-	81	-	15	29	20	n/a	37
Total Students	369	5	60	208	-	81	-	15	29	20	n/a	37
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	n/a	100%

^{*} Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

				Two or				
All	African	American	Pacific	More	Econ	Special	ELL	ELL

⁺ Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS)

^{***} Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

^{**} When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Indicates there are no students in the group.

	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	(Ever HS)	(Current)
Federal Graduation Rates												
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Gra	duation Rate	(Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	15								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Gra	duation Rate	(Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	14								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Ra	ate (Gr 9-12):	Class of 2	014									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a

District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Reading

Number Proficient n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

Mathematics
Number Proficient n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

- * Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- ** When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. **Focus schools** are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports.Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: Priority School Reason: N/A
No Focus School Reason: N/A

Focus School Identification: No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year. Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.2%	1.0%
Bachelors	24.6	61.7%	73.9%	74.7%
Masters	15.3	38.3%	24.4%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	1.4%	0.6%

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

Low Poverty

Core Academic Subject Areas

		General	Special	Total
		Education	Education	
Total Number of Teachers		33	2	35
Total Number of Classes		33	2	35
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	33	2	35
	Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	0
	Percent	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of	Teachers
	Elem	secondary
	(PK-6)	(7-12)
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	0
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0
Non-renewable	0	0
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0
District Teaching	0	0
Temporary	0	0

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Numbe	r of Teachers
	General Education	Special Education
Highly Qualified	0	0
Not Highly Qualified	0	0

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

			%	% At or Above	% At or Above	% At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
	•	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4

White	12	88	48	12
Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade Grade 4	Subject Reading	Student Group Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	% 72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment